

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Restriction has been required between two sets of claims, namely Group I, Claims 4-11 and 17 and Group II, Claims 12-16 and 18. Group I including Claims 4-11 and 17 are hereby elected With Traverse.

The Requirement has failed to comply with M.P.E.P. § 808.02 in alleging a burden. Therein it states "the Examiner must show by appropriate explanation one of the following: (A) separate classification thereof ... (B) a separate status in the art when they are classifiable together ... (C) a different field of search ..." No discussion of any of these factors is found within the Requirement.

Accordingly it is respectfully requested that the Restriction Requirement be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



---

Eckhard H. Kuesters  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 28,870

Michael L. Gellner  
Registration No. 27,256

Customer Number  
**22850**

Tel: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413 -2220  
(OSMMN 06/04)